IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

ROBERT L. BURNS

VS.

\$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:10cv321

JAMES DICKERSON, ET AL.

\$

MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff Robert L. Burns, an inmate confined at the Jester III Unit of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, brought the above-styled lawsuit.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Earl S. Hines, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge recommends this action be dismissed for failing to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such referral, along with the record, pleadings and all available evidence. Plaintiff filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration, the court concludes plaintiff's objections are without merit. Plaintiff was required under § 1997e(a) to exhaust administrative remedies before filing suit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1997e(a); *Johnson v. Johnson*, 385 F.3d 503, 515 (5th Cir. 2004). Further, proper

exhaustion is required, meaning that the prisoner must not only pursue all available avenues of relief

but must also comply with all administrative deadlines and procedural rules. Woodford v. Ngo, 548

U.S. 81, 89-95, 126 S.Ct. 2378, 165 L.Ed.2d 368 (2006).

Here, plaintiff did not comply with the administrative deadlines and procedural rules, nor did

he pursue all available avenues of relief. Plaintiff's Step 1 grievance was returned to him

unprocessed because the grievable time period had expired. Plaintiff did not pursue the second step

of the grievance procedure. Further, plaintiff has failed to show circumstances warranting the excuse

of timely exhaustion. Accordingly, plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed.

ORDER

Accordingly, plaintiff's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions

of law of the magistrate judge are correct and the report of the magistrate judge is ADOPTED. A

final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge's

recommendations.

SIGNED this the 2 day of **August**, 2011.

Thad Heartfield

United States District Judge

2